

# A Geostatistical Framework for Assessing Sampling Designs for Bottom Trawl Surveys with an Application to The Portuguese Autumn Survey

Ernesto Jardim<sup>1</sup> <ernesto@ipimar.pt>

Paulo J. Ribeiro Jr<sup>2</sup> <paulojus@ufpr.br>

October 10, 2007

<sup>1</sup>Instituto Nacional de Investigação Agrária e das Pescas, Av. Brasília, 1449-006, Lisboa, Portugal

<sup>2</sup>Laboratório de Estatística e Geoinformação, Universidade Federal do Paraná, C.P. 19.081 CEP:  
81.531-990 , Curitiba, Paraná, Brasil

1

## Abstract

2

This paper presents ...

3

**Key-words:** model-based geostatistics; hake; sampling design.

## 1 Introduction

Bottom trawl survey (BTS) design rely on previous knowledge of the target species, like spatial distribution and population structure; and statistical analysis of preliminary data (e.g. Ault et al., 1999; Hata and Berkson, 2004) or simulation procedures (e.g. Schnute and Haigh, 2003; Anon., 2005b). These results are combined with operational constraints such as trawlable grounds and vessel availability, among other, to define the BTS sampling design. The survey design is often reviewed across the years to adjust stratification (e.g. Smith and Gavaris, 1993; Folmer and Pennington, 2000), tow duration (e.g. Cerviño and Saborido-Rey, 2006; Wieland and Storr-Paulsen, 2006), technical issues such as gear changes (e.g. Zimmermann et al., 2003; Cooper et al., 2004) and other subjects.

The Portuguese BTS started in June 1979, covering the continental shelf and following a stratified random design. In 1989 the stratification was defined by 12 sectors along the coast subdivided into 4 depth ranges: 20-100m, 101-200m, 201-500m and 501-750 m, with a total of 48 strata. Due to constraints in the vessel time available the sample size was set to 97 locations evenly allocated to each stratum. The coordinates of the sampling locations were selected randomly, albeit constrained by the historical records of clear tow positions and other information about the sea floor, thus avoiding places where trawling was not possible. During this period the haul duration was set to one hour but recent experiments proved that half hour hauls with provide the same information about length distributions (Cardador, pers.comm.). In light of this findings haul duration was reduced to half hour and an additional set of hauls were available which motivated a revision of the sampling design. The revision was splitted into a preliminary phase using simulations and geostatistical analysis (Jardim and Ribeiro Jr, 2007) and a second phase during which a field test was executed to provide real information about the proposed sampling designs. In a third moment the decision will have to be made based on the scientific data provided and the existing financial and administrative constraints.

The field experience was carried out during the summer of 2001, with R/V Noruega off the southwest of Portuguese Continental shelf using a Norwegian Campbell Trawl with .... The survey executed two sampling designs previously tested on a simulation study by Jardim and Ribeiro Jr (2007). The survey area was limited on the south by the cape of S.Vicente ( $37.00^\circ$  north,  $8.99^\circ$  west), on the north by Setubal's Canyon ( $38.30^\circ$  north,  $##.##^\circ$  west), on the east by the 20m depth isoline and on the west by the 500m isoline. The survey area had approximately  $4300\text{km}^2$  and the maximum distance within the area was approximately 150km. The data collected considered in this work consists of date/time, geographical location and hake (*Merluccius merluccius*) catch in weight (kg). Geographical coordinates were transformed into UTM units and hake abundance was computed in  $\text{kg}/\text{km}$  and assigned to the haul starting coordinates. The area swept was computed using the haul start and ending positions to correct

37 haul speed variations.

38 Our analysis uses model-based geostatistics (Diggle et al., 1998; Diggle and Ribeiro Jr, 2007) to explicitly  
39 take into account spatial patterns of abundance and provide a flexible modelling framework. We selected  
40 a set of statistics to provide information about different aspects of the data, relevant for modelling fish  
41 abundance. In a global perspective we use mean abundance and the 95% percentile to summarize the  
42 areal behaviour of abundance, commonly used for studying time trends and building abundance indices  
43 for stock assessment. In a local perspective we use the observed values to assess the adequacy of the model,  
44 computing the coverage of the prediction confidence interval, and the prediction precision, computing a  
45 modified generalized cross validation index. Note that the assessment of the model adequacy and the  
46 prediction precision are extremely valuable statistics, once that kriging is in fact a linear predictor and the  
47 maps produced with it will be used to estimate the spatial distribution of abundance and the abundance  
48 index mentioned before. With relation to the analysis reported here we rely on our experience with  
49 bottom trawls surveys (Anon., 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005a, 2006; Sousa et al., 2005; Mendes et al., 2007;  
50 Sousa et al., 2007) to provide contextual information which supports the adoption of a particular class  
51 of models, and avoid as much as possible model mis-specification.

52 The work described on this paper aims at: (i) reporting a BTS field experience during which two sampling  
53 designs were tested, and (ii) describe a set of tools used to assess the performance of sampling designs.  
54 Although the results obtained are constraint by the characteristics of the area and the species analysed,  
55 we believe our approach can be applied to other areas and species, providing an important source of  
56 information about sampling design revisions.

## 57 **2 Methods**

58 This section describes the sampling designs to be tested and how they were built. It also describes  
59 the geostatistical modelling framework and the adjustments considered to cope with the small dataset  
60 available, a common characteristics of BTS due to its high price. At last we describe the technical details  
61 of the performance statistics chosen.

### 62 **2.1 Sampling designs**

63 Several authors discussed the advantages of systematic designs over random designs to sample spatial  
64 correlated variables like fish abundance (Cochran, 1960; Ripley, 1981; Thompson, 1992; Cressie, 1993;  
65 Chiles and Delfiner, 1999; Kimura and Somerton, 2006; Diggle and Ribeiro Jr, 2007). Nevertheless, in  
66 the case of spatial correlated variables there are two clear objectives that can not be combined in a

67 single criteria, estimation of the covariance function parameters and prediction (Muller, 2001). In the  
 68 first situation it is important to have locations at short distances, to compute the function behaviour at  
 69 the origin, and locations at distances close to the limit of spatial correlation, to estimate the correlation  
 70 range (Muller, 2001). In the second case the best predictions will result from a design with higher  
 71 covariance with the locations to be predicted (Thompson, 1992), which in the case of abundance indices,  
 72 that require the full map of the variable, the best choice will be a design that covers the area evenly.  
 73 However, it must be take into account that in the case of fish abundance the covariance function is  
 74 unknown and must be estimated from the data. To combine both objectives several authors propose  
 75 designs that mix a set of locations covering the area with additional locations at short distances (Muller,  
 76 2001; Diggle and Lophaven, 2006; Zhu and Stein, 2006; Diggle and Ribeiro Jr, 2007). Such designs were  
 77 not considered for bottom trawl surveys until now, although fish abundance characteristics fit well in the  
 78 assumptions of these proposals. Our sampling designs were built mixing a set of operational constraints  
 79 with the geostatistical principles elaborated above, and considering the need to keep the continuity of the  
 80 survey history. In particular, the two designs tested were built to distinguish between an hybrid random-  
 81 systematic sampling strategy and a systematic strategy. Both designs use the same basis, a regular grid  
 82 that covers the survey area. The hybrid design overlaps this regular grid with the existent random design  
 83 keeping some continuity with the survey historical records (Top-left plot in Figure 1). The systematic  
 84 design includes a set of locations positioned regularly at smaller distances creating 4 denser sampling  
 85 areas (Bottom-left plot in Figure 1).

## 86 2.2 Geostatistical model

87 Geostatistical observations consist of pairs  $(x, y)$  with elements  $(x_i, y_i) : i = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $x_i$  denotes  
 88 the coordinates of each of the  $n$  spatial locations within a study region  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $y_i$  the measurement of  
 89 the corresponding observable study variable. We adopted the Box-Cox transformed Gaussian model with  
 90 transformation parameter  $\lambda$  as presented in Christensen et al. (2001). Denoting by  $z_i$  the transformed  
 91 values, such that  $g_\lambda(y_i) = z_i$ , the Gaussian model for the vector of variables  $Z$  observed at locations  $x$   
 92 can be written as a linear model  $Z(x) = S(x) + \epsilon$ , where  $S$  is a stationary Gaussian stochastic process,  
 93 with  $E[S(x)] = \mu$ ,  $Var[S(x)] = \sigma^2$  and an isotropic correlation function  $\rho(h) = Corr[S(x), S(x')]$ , where  
 94  $h = \|x - x'\|$  is the Euclidean distance between locations  $x$  and  $x'$ . The terms  $\epsilon$  are assumed to be  
 95 mutually independent and identically distributed,  $\epsilon \sim \text{Gau}(0, \tau^2)$ . For the correlation function  $\rho(h)$  we  
 96 adopt the exponential function with algebraic form  $\rho(h) = \exp\{-h/\phi\}$  where  $\phi$  is the *range* parameter  
 97 such that  $\rho(h) \simeq 0.05$  when  $h = 3\phi$ . Other function could be chosen but as long as the same function  
 98 is used to model the observations of all designs tested the results would be the same in relative terms.

99 Following usual geostatistical terminology (Isaaks and Srivastava, 1989) we call  $\sigma_T^2 = \tau^2 + \sigma^2$  the total  
 100 sill,  $\sigma^2$  the partial sill,  $\tau^2$  the nugget effect and  $3\phi$  the practical range. Geometric anisotropy (Isaaks and  
 101 Srivastava, 1989; Cressie, 1993) is considered an extension of this model with parameter  $\psi = \{\psi_A, \psi_R\}$   
 102 where  $\psi_A$  is the anisotropic angle and  $\psi_R$  is the anisotropic ratio.

103 Hereafter we use  $[\cdot]$  to denote *the distribution of* the quantity indicated within brackets. Following the  
 104 adopted model,  $[g_\lambda(Y)] \sim \text{MVGau}(\mu\mathbf{1}, \Sigma)$ , i.e.  $[Y]$  is multivariate trans-Gaussian with expected value  
 105  $\mu$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  parametrized by  $\{\sigma^2, \phi, \tau^2\}$  that can be estimated by maximum likelihood  
 106 (Cressie, 1993; Diggle et al., 1998; Diggle and Ribeiro Jr, 2007). Consider a prediction target  $T(x_0) =$   
 107  $g_\lambda^{-1}(S(x_0))$ , the realised value of the process in the original measurement scale at spatial locations  $x_0$ .  
 108 Simulations from  $[T(x_0)|Y(x)]$  are obtained by simulating from the multivariate Gaussian  $[S(x_0)|Y(x)]$   
 109 and back transforming the simulated values to the original scale of measurement (Chiles and Delfiner,  
 110 1999; Diggle and Ribeiro Jr, 2007). These simulations are usually called *conditional simulations* referring  
 111 to the fact they are conditioned to the observed values  $Y(x)$ .

112 To overcome the difficulty to identify all parameters with a small dataset we split inference in two steps.  
 113 First the Box-Cox transformation parameter  $\lambda$  and the anisotropy parameter  $\psi$  are investigated by pooling  
 114 all the observations in a single dataset and computing profile likelihoods (Diggle and Ribeiro Jr, 2007).  
 115 With regards to the anisotropy parameters we further considered the north-south coastal orientation  
 116 of the study region as the direction of greater spatial continuity and fixed  $\psi_A$  in 0 degrees azimuthal  
 117 angle, remaining  $\psi_R$  to be estimated from the data. Having estimated these two parameters we regard  
 118 their point estimates as constants in the model and proceed by computing for each design the maximum  
 119 likelihood estimates of the other model parameters. Thereafter, we compute kriging predictions on a  
 120  $2 \times 2\text{km}$  grid within the study area,  $x_0$ , with a total of 1070 locations, and simulated 1,000 conditional  
 121 distributions  $[Y(x_0)|Y]$  for each design.

### 122 2.3 Performance statistics

123 Consider  $E[Z(x_i)]$  and  $\sigma_z^2(x_i)$  the kriging predictor and its variance on the Gaussian scale, at location  
 124  $x_i \in x_0$ ; the back transformation when  $\lambda = 0.5$  is given by  $E[Y(x_i)] = (1 + 0.5E[Z(x_i)])^2 + 0.25\sigma_z^2(x_i)$ .  
 125 The global mean is estimated as the average of the predicted values  $\hat{\mu} = m^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^m \hat{E}[Y(x_i)]$ . The  
 126 variance of  $\hat{\mu}$ ,  $\hat{\sigma}_\mu^2$ , is computed by the sum of all terms in the covariance matrix of  $[Y(x_0)|Y(x)]$ ,  $\Sigma_Y(x_0)$ ,  
 127 provided kriging predictions at locations  $x_i$  are dependent random variables. This parameter is also back  
 128 transformed by  $\Sigma_Y(x_0) = \Sigma_Z(x_0)[8^{-1}\Sigma_Z(x_0) + (1 + 0.5E[Z(x)])^2]$  where  $\Sigma_Z(x_0)$  is the covariance matrix  
 129 of  $[Z(x_0)|Z(x)]$ , when  $\lambda = 0.5$ . Further, we consider  $t_s(x_0)$  a realized value of the conditional simulation  
 130  $s = 1, \dots, S = 1,000$  from  $[T(x_0)|Y(x)]$ . The 95th percentile was estimated by  $\hat{p} = S^{-1} \sum_s \hat{p}_s$  where

131  $\hat{p}_s = p_{95}(t_s(x_0))$ , the average of the empirical distribution  $\hat{p}$  obtained from the conditional simulations.  
132 The variance of  $\hat{p}$  is given by  $\hat{\sigma}_p^2 = (S - 1)^{-1} \sum_s (\hat{p}_s - \hat{p})^2$ .  
133 The coverage of the prediction confidence interval,  $\varepsilon$ , and the generalized cross validation index,  $\xi$ , were  
134 computed using cross-validation statistics (Hastie et al., 2001) combined with conditional simulations.  
135 First, we created a new data set by leaving one observation out at a location  $x_i$ , after we simulated 1,000  
136 values of our variable at location  $x_i$  and repeated this procedure for all data locations. Consider  $y(x_i)$  an  
137 observation of the process  $Y$  on location  $x_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Consider  $y(x_{(i)})$  the observed data set without  
138 the observation  $y(x_i)$  and  $t_s(x_i)$  a conditional simulation  $s = 1, \dots, S$  of  $[T(x_i)|Y = y(x_{(i)})]$  on location  $x_i$ .  
139 The predictive confidence interval is given by  $CI(x_i) = [p_{2.5}(t_s(x_i)), p_{97.5}(t_s(x_i))]$  and the proportion of  
140 observations lying inside the intervals  $\xi = n^{-1} \sum_i (y(x_i) \in CI(x_i))$  provides the *coverage* of the prediction  
141 confidence interval. The cross validation index is given by  $\varepsilon = n^{-1} \sum_i (S^{-1} \sum_s (t_s(x_i) - y(x_i))^2)$ , the  
142 average of the mean quadratic error on each location estimated using the full set of conditional simulations.

### 143 3 Results

144 The two sampling designs and the observations of hake yield are presented in the leftmost panels of  
145 Figure 1. The base regular design is represented by the black triangles in the Figures. The abundance of  
146 hake observed showed that the distribution of yield was spread over the area, presenting lower values in  
147 the north and a small number of zeros.

148 The 95% confidence interval obtained for the Box-Cox parameter was  $\approx [0.12, 0.55]$  and we set  $\hat{\lambda} = 0.5$ ,  
149 the square root transformation. The profiled log-likelihood of the anisotropy ratio showed no evidence of  
150 anisotropy. Nevertheless, we carried out analysis using different values of  $\psi_R$  to check the sensibility of  
151 the results, which proved negligible.

152 With regards to the model parameters, the hybrid design presented higher estimates than the systematic  
153 design (Table 1). The total variance  $\hat{\sigma}_T^2$  was 3.75, with  $\hat{\tau}^2 = 0.75$  and  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = 3.00$ ; and  $\hat{\phi} = 16.64$ . While  
154 the systematic design estimates were  $\hat{\sigma}_T^2 = 3.20$ , with  $\hat{\tau}^2 = 0.61$  and  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = 2.59$ ; and  $\hat{\phi} = 10.21$ . Looking at  
155  $\tau_{REL}^2$  and  $\sigma^2\phi^{-1}$ , that give information about the variability of the spatial process, both designs showed  
156 similar relative nuggets but the hybrid design showed a lower ratio between sill and range, reflecting a  
157 higher spatial structure of the stochastic process. Notice that the practical range,  $3\phi$ , was  $\approx 50km$  for  
158 hybrid and  $\approx 30km$  for the systematic design.

159 The rightmost panels of Figure 1 show the abundance maps predicted and their variance, for each design.  
160 Both predictions are similar and the spatial pattern of variance reflect the influence of the observations,  
161 showing lower variability near the observed locations and higher variability in areas where extrapolation

162 was higher. The hybrid design had higher variance in the center-east of the study area and lower variance  
163 on the north due to a better coverage in this area.

164 The estimates of  $\mu$  and  $p_{95}$  were similar although the hybrid design presented slightly lower values. The  
165 hybrid design showed a lower coefficient of variation for  $\mu$ ,  $CV_{\mu} = 11.89\%$  than the systematic design,  
166  $CV_{\mu} = 13.25\%$ . The  $p_{95}$  variance was slightly lower for the systematic design,  $CV_{p_{95}} = 11.09\%$ , while  
167 the hybrid design presented  $CV_{p_{95}} = 11.31\%$ . The coverage of the prediction confidence intervals was  
168 0.94 for both designs. These results reinforce our choices about modelling given that if the model was  
169 wrong we'd expect  $\xi$  to be different from the nominal value of the confidence interval. The generalized  
170 cross validation index presented a lower estimate with the hybrid design, 16.32, than with the systematic  
171 design, 18.82, showing an higher prediction precision of the hybrid design. The above mentioned results  
172 reflect that the higher spatial structure of the stochastic process estimated for the hybrid design surpassed  
173 its higher total variability with relation to the estimation of these performance statistics.

## 174 4 Discussion

175 Assessing sampling designs for BTS raises interesting questions about appropriated methodologies to  
176 analyze data and derive statistics of interest, which are particularly relevant considering the multipur-  
177 pose/multispecies nature of BTS and the small sample sizes.

178 The adoption of a formal criteria and loss function to find an optimum design seems unrealistic in practice  
179 due to the multidimensionality of the data and the conflicting objectives of inference and prediction.  
180 Here we follow a pragmatic approach to sampling design and started by choosing a design that joins a  
181 regular grid with the old random design, followed by a second design that uses the same regular grid  
182 but reallocates the random locations in a regular shape. This way we build designs that implement the  
183 two most promising strategies, considering the wide literature that support the use of systematic designs  
184 for spatial correlated variables, and test the possibility of keeping the continuity with the historical time  
185 series. To compare these proposals we rely on spatial modelling to compute statistics of primary interest  
186 and look for consistency among them, exploring several aspects of the same dataset. We advocate that  
187 the approach described above will provide valuable information to support the decision process.

188 The performance statistics were selected to reflect relevant characteristics and different aspects of spatial  
189 prediction. The global mean is the most used index of abundance, often estimated by the sample average.  
190 We favor the geostatistical estimator presented and its variance as a measure of uncertainty, considering  
191 it takes into account the spatial dependency within the area and insights about the spatial process. The  
192 95th percentile estimated by conditional simulations can be used to identify areas of high abundance,  
193 giving information about candidate areas to protect. The coverage of the prediction confidence intervals

194 is a diagnostic tool. A small coverage reflects an underestimation of the variance or the inadequacy of the  
195 model to explain the available data. The cross validation index combined with conditional simulations,  
196 incorporates the prediction precision in the index, which is not taken into account by the traditional  
197 cross validation. For example, if a location has the same predicted value by different designs but with  
198 different prediction variances, our approach would distinguish both situations, differently from the usual  
199 cross validation index.

200 Our results showed that the hybrid design performed better in all cases except for  $\sigma_p^2$ . A clear parallel  
201 can be established with the *lattice plus closed pairs* designs of Diggle and Lophaven (2006), the *EK-*  
202 *optimal* designs of Zimmerman (2006) or the  $D_{EA}$  designs of Zhu and Stein (2006). All of these cover the  
203 study area and include a set of positions at small distance, albeit following different constructions, these  
204 designs performed better than their random or systematic competitors. Common to all these studies and  
205 our work, is the fact that the analysis were carried out in situations where the model parameters were  
206 considered unknown and needed to be estimated from the data, which made it clear that both parameter  
207 estimation and prediction are important for the precision of the prediction target.

208 Concluding, we consider that our results give indications that keeping the old random design and add  
209 a regular grid to build a new design can be a good and pragmatic solution to adjust BTS designs to  
210 modern model-based geostatistics techniques. Secondly, the performance statistics described above seem  
211 to capture the most important features of the data with relation to abundance estimation, constituting  
212 good measures to assess BTS performance.

## 213 5 Acknowledgments

214 The authors would like to thank the scientific teams involved in the Portuguese Bottom Trawl Surveys,  
215 in particular the coordinator Fátima Cardador, and the comments by Manuela Azevedo. This work  
216 was carried out within scope of the IPIMAR's project NeoMAv (QCA-3/MARE-FEDER, [http://ipimar-  
iniap.ipimar.pt/neomav](http://ipimar-<br/>217 iniap.ipimar.pt/neomav)).

## 218 References

- 219 Anon., 2002. Report of the International Bottom Trawl Survey Working Group. Tech. rep., International  
220 Council for the Exploitation of the Sea (ICES), iCES CM 2002/D:03.
- 221 Anon., 2003. Report of the International Bottom Trawl Survey Working Group. Tech. rep., International  
222 Council for the Exploitation of the Sea (ICES), iCES CM 2003/D:05.

- 223 Anon., 2004. Report of the International Bottom Trawl Survey Working Group. Tech. rep., International  
224 Council for the Exploitation of the Sea (ICES), iCES CM 2004/D:05.
- 225 Anon., 2005a. Report of the International Bottom Trawl Survey Working Group. Tech. rep., International  
226 Council for the Exploitation of the Sea (ICES), iCES CM 2005/D:05.
- 227 Anon., 2005b. Report of the Workshop on Survey Designs and Data Analysis. Tech. rep., International  
228 Council for the Exploitation of the Sea (ICES).
- 229 Anon., 2006. Report of the International Bottom Trawl Survey Working Group. Tech. rep., International  
230 Council for the Exploitation of the Sea (ICES), iCES CM 2006/D:05.
- 231 Ault, J., Diaz, G., Smith, S., Luo, J., Serafy, J., 1999. An Efficient Sampling Survey Design to Estimate  
232 Pink Shrimp Population Abundance in Biscayne Bay, Florida. *North American Journal of Fisheries*  
233 *Management* (19), 696–712.
- 234 Cerviño, S., Saborido-Rey, F., 2006. Using bootstrap to investigate the effects of varying tow lengths  
235 and catch sampling schemes in fish survey. *Fisheries Research* (79), 294–302.
- 236 Chiles, J.-P., Delfiner, P., 1999. *Geostatistics: Modeling Spatial Uncertainty*. Wiley, New York.
- 237 Christensen, O., Diggle, P., Ribeiro Jr, P., 2001. Analysing positive-valued spatial data: the transformed  
238 gaussian model. In: Monestiez, P., Allard, D., Froidevaux (Eds.), *GeoENV III - Geostatistics for*  
239 *Environmental Applications*. Vol. 11 of *Quantitative Geology and Geostatistics*. Kluwer, pp. 287–298.
- 240 Cochran, W., 1960. *Sampling Techniques*. Statistics. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- 241 Cooper, A., Rosenberg, A., Stefánson, B., Mangel, M., 2004. Examining the importance of consistency in  
242 multi-vessel trawl survey design based on the U.S. west coast groundfish bottom trawl survey. *Fisheries*  
243 *Research* (70), 239–250.
- 244 Cressie, N., 1993. *Statistics for spatial data - Revised Edition*. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- 245 Diggle, P., Ribeiro Jr, P., 2007. *Model-based Geostatistics*. Springer, New York.
- 246 Diggle, P., Tawn, J., Moyeed, R., 1998. Model-based geostatistics (with discussion). *Appl. Statist.* 47,  
247 299–350.
- 248 Diggle, P. J., Lophaven, S., 2006. Bayesian geostatistical design. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics* 33,  
249 55–64.
- 250 Folmer, O., Pennington, M., 2000. A statistical evaluation of the design and precision of the shrimp trawl  
251 survey off West Greenland. *Fisheries Research* (49), 165–178.

- 252 Hastie, T., Tibshirani, R., Friedman, J., 2001. The Elements of Statistical Learning. Data Mining, Infer-  
253 ence, and Prediction. Springer Series in Statistics. Springer, New York.
- 254 Hata, D., Berkson, J., 2004. Factors affecting horseshoe crab limulus polyphemus trawl survey design.  
255 Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 133, 292–299.
- 256 Isaaks, E., Srivastava, M., 1989. An Introduction to Applied Geostatistics. Oxford University Press, New  
257 York.
- 258 Jardim, E., Ribeiro Jr, P., 2007. Geostatistical assessment of sampling designs for portuguese bottom  
259 trawl surveys. Fisheries Research 85, 239–247.
- 260 Kimura, D. K., Somerton, D. A., 2006. Review of statistical aspects of survey sampling for marine  
261 fisheries. Reviews in Fisheries Science 14 (3), 245–283.
- 262 Mendes, J. M., Turkman, K. F., Jardim, E., 2007. A bayesian hierarchical model for over-dispersed count  
263 data: a case study for abundance of hake recruits. Environmetrics 18 (1), 27–53.  
264 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/env.800>
- 265 Muller, W., 2001. Collecting Spatial Data - Optimum Design of Experiments for Random Fields, 2nd  
266 Edition. Contributions to statistics. Physica-Verlag, Heidelberg.
- 267 Ripley, B., 1981. Spatial Statistics. Probability and Statistics. Wiley, New Jersey.
- 268 Schnute, J., Haigh, R., 2003. A simulation model for designing groundfish trawl surveys. Canadian Journal  
269 of Fisheries and Aquatic Science (60), 640–656.
- 270 Smith, S., Gavaris, S., 1993. Improving the Precision of Abundance Estimates of Eastern Scotian Shelf  
271 Atlantic Cod from Bottom Trawl Surveys. North American Journal of Fisheries Mangement (13),  
272 35–47.
- 273 Sousa, P., Azevedo, M., Gomes, M., 2005. Demersal assemblages off portugal: Mapping, seasonal, and  
274 temporal patterns. Fisheries Research 75, 120–137.
- 275 Sousa, P., Lemos, R., Gomes, M., Azevedo, M., 2007. Analysis of horse mackerel, blue whiting, and hake  
276 catch data from portuguese surveys (1989-1999) using an integrated glm approach. Aquatic Living  
277 Resources 20, 105–116.
- 278 Thompson, S. K., 1992. Sampling. Probability and Methemathical Statistics. Wiley, New York.
- 279 Wieland, K., Storr-Paulsen, M., 2006. Effect of tow duration on catch rate and size composition of  
280 Northern shrimp (*Pandallus borealis*) and Greenland halibut (*Reinhardtius hippoglossoides*) in the  
281 West Greeland Bottom Trawl Survey. Fisheries Research (78), 276–285.

- 282 Zhu, Z., Stein, M., 2006. Spatial sampling design for prediction with estimated parameters. *Journal of*  
283 *Agricultural, Biological, and Environmental Statistics* 11, 24–44.
- 284 Zimmerman, D., 2006. Optimal network design for spatial prediction, covariance parameter estimation,  
285 and empirical prediction. *Environmetrics* 17, 635–652.
- 286 Zimmermann, M., Wilkins, M., Weinberg, K., Lauth, R., Shaw, F., 2003. Influence of improved perfor-  
287 mance monitoring on the consistency of a bottom trawl survey. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* (60),  
288 818–826.

Table 1: Estimates of model parameters and performance statistics by design. Model parameters are:  $\tau^2$ , the short distance variance or nugget effect;  $\sigma^2$  the variance of the spatial process;  $\sigma_T^2$  the total variance;  $\phi$  the correlation range parameter; and the transformation parameters  $\lambda$ , the Box-Cox parameter and the anisotropy parameters  $\{\psi_A, \psi_R\}$ . The relative nugget,  $\tau_{REL}^2$ , and the ratio between relative sill and range  $\sigma^2\phi^{-1}$ , were computed to give more insights about the spatial process. Performance statistics are:  $\hat{\mu}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_\mu^2$ , the mean and variance of the global abundance;  $\hat{p}_{95}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_p^2$ , the mean and variance of the 95th percentile of the global abundance;  $\varepsilon$ , the generalized cross validation index and  $\xi$ , the coverage of the prediction confidence interval with nominal level of 0.95.

	<b>hybrid</b>	<b>systematic</b>
model parameters		
$\tau^2$	0.75	0.61
$\sigma^2$	3.00	2.59
$\sigma_T^2$	3.75	3.20
$\phi$	16.64	10.21
$\tau_{REL}^2$	0.20	0.19
$\sigma^2\phi^{-1}$	0.18	0.25
$\psi_A$	0.00	0.00
$\psi_R$	1.00	1.00
$\lambda$	0.50	0.50
performance statistics		
$\hat{\mu}$	4.07	4.20
$\hat{\sigma}_\mu^2$	0.23	0.31
$cv$	11.89	13.25
$\hat{p}_{95}$	11.01	10.78
$\hat{\sigma}_p^2$	1.55	1.43
$cv$	11.31	11.09
$\xi$	0.94	0.94
$\varepsilon$	16.32	18.82

Figure 1: Study area on the Portuguese southwest coast. The top panels show information about the hybrid random-systematic design and the bottom panels about the systematic design. The leftmost plots show the sampling designs locations, the black triangles represent the regular grid common to both designs, and the open circles the additional locations. Follows the observations of hake yield (kg/km) and the predictions obtained by kriging, both on the square root scale. The rightmost plots present the kriging variance

